


MAY 30, 2013

Team solves the origin of the Moon's 'mascons' mystery

by Purdue University



Free-air gravitational acceleration anomalies over the 420-km-diameter Freundlich-Sharonov impact basin on t...

A mystery of the moon that imperiled astronauts and spacecraft on lunar missions has been solved by a Purdue University-led team of scientists as part of NASA's GRAIL mission.

Large concentrations of mass lurk on the lunar surface hidden like coral reefs beneath the ocean waves - an unseen and devastating hazard. These concentrations change the gravity field and can either pull a spacecraft in or push it off course, sealing its fate to a crash on the face of the moon.

"In 1968 these mass concentrations were an unwelcome discovery as scientists prepared for the Apollo landings, and they have remained a mystery ever since," said Jay Melosh, a member of the Gravity Recovery and Interior Laboratory, or GRAIL.

GRAIL, science team who led the research. "GRAIL has now mapped where they lay, and we have a much better understanding of how they developed. If we return to the moon, we can now navigate with great precision."

A better understanding of these features also adds clues to the moon's origin and evolution and will be useful in studying other planets where mass concentrations also are known to exist including Mars and Mercury, said Melosh, who is a distinguished professor of earth, atmospheric and planetary sciences and physics.

"We now know the ancient moon must have been much hotter than it is now and the crust thinner than we thought," he said. "For the first time we can figure out what size asteroids hit the moon by looking at the basins left behind and the gravity signature of the areas. We now have tools to figure out more about the heavy asteroid bombardment and what the ancient Earth may have faced."

The team confirmed the standing theory that the concentrations of mass were caused by massive asteroid impacts billions of years ago and determined how these impacts changed the density of material on the moon's surface and, in turn, its gravity field. A paper detailing the results will be published online by the journal *Science* on Thursday (May 30).


In addition to Melosh, Purdue team members include Andrew Freed, associate professor of earth, atmospheric and planetary sciences, and graduate students Brandon Johnson and David Blair. Additional team members include Maria Zuber, GRAIL principal investigator and professor at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology; J. Andrews-Hanna of the Colorado School of Mines; S. Solomon of Columbia University; and the GRAIL Science Team.

"The explanation of mascons has eluded scientists for decades," Zuber said. "Since their initial discovery they have also been observed on Mars and Mercury, and by understanding their formation on the moon we have greatly advanced knowledge of how major impacts modified planetary crusts."

The mass concentrations form a target pattern with a gravity surplus at the bulls-eye surrounded by a ring of gravity deficit and an outer ring of gravity surplus. The team found that this pattern arises as a natural consequence of crater excavation, collapse and cooling following an impact.

The team determined that the increase in density and gravitational pull at the bulls-eye was caused by lunar material melted from the heat of the asteroid impact. The melting causes the material to become more concentrated, stronger and denser, and pulls in additional material from the surrounding areas, Melosh said.

The large asteroid impacts also caused big holes into which the surrounding lunar material collapsed. As the cool, strong lunar crust slid into the holes it bent downward, forming a rigid, curved edge that held down the material beneath it and prevented it from fully rebounding to its original surface height. This causes a ring with less gravitational pull because the mass is held farther below the surface, the top of which is what most influences the gravitational signature, he said.



Jay Melosh, a Purdue distinguished professor of Earth, atmospheric and planetary sciences, stands in front of ...

The outer ring of increased gravitational pull comes from the added mass of the material ejected by the initial impact that then piles on top of the lunar surface.

The team combined expertise in specialized computer analysis methods called hydrocodes and finite element codes to create computer simulations that could show the physical changes occurring from microseconds to millions of years. The team analyzed the Freundlich-Sharonov and Humorum mascon basins.

Melosh is a pioneer in adapting computer hydrocodes - computer programs originally created to analyze the flow of liquids - to simulate how complex materials move when high-speed collisions occur, like that of a planetary collision. Hydrocodes can be used to study such phenomena on a time scale of microseconds to hours, but are not practical from time scales much longer than that, he said.

Freed is a leader in adapting finite element codes, like those used to study car crashes, to simulate the changes in density of complex materials upon cooling and the evolution of Earth and other planets on the time scale of hours to millions of years.

Using the GRAIL data set, which offers an unprecedented, detailed map of the distribution of masses in the moon, the team was able to put together a picture of how the moon's crust and mantle behaved and the development of the concentrations of mass in the aftermath of large asteroid impacts.

During their prime and extended missions, the two GRAIL spacecraft transmitted radio signals precisely defining the rate of change of distance between them. The distance between the crafts Ebb and Flow changed slightly as they flew over areas of greater and lesser gravity caused by visible features, such as mountains and craters, and by masses hidden beneath the lunar surface. GRAIL scientists are using this data to learn detailed information about the moon's internal structure and composition.

Explore further

[Looking deep into the Moon's interior to chart its early history](#)

More information: "The Origin of Lunar Mascon Basins," by H.J. Melosh et al. *Science*, 2013.

Journal information: [Science](#)

Provided by [Purdue University](#)

1 shares

Facebook Twitter Email Feedback to editors

Related Stories

Looking deep into the Moon's interior to chart its early history

MAR 08, 2013

First of NASA's GRAIL spacecraft enters Moon orbit

JAN 01, 2012

NASA's GRAIL moon twins begin extended mission science

SEP 02, 2012

GRAIL creates most accurate Moon gravity map (w/ video)

DEC 05, 2012

Moon may harbour alien minerals, study says

MAY 26, 2013

Twin Grail spacecraft begin collecting lunar science data

MAR 07, 2012

Ads by TrendMD

Evidence of relation between impact craters and extinction events found

Edge Insights, Edge Insights

Mars might get rings in the future, a new theory suggests

Edge Insights, Edge Insights

Worms in Space: Researchers Publish Data Showing RNAI Can Function in Low Earth Orbit

GenomeWeb, 2011

E-Health Records Fail to Detect Many Medication Errors

Cardiology Advisor, 2020

Q&A: Labcoats' Jordan Clark on Industry, FDA Gap on Rx/Dx Codevelopment Principles

Precision Oncology News, 2017

Molecular Profiling of Cancer Patients Uncovers Combination Treatments in I-PREDICT Study

Precision Oncology News, 2019

Powered by **TREND MD**

User comments

cantdrive85 May 30, 2013

You can accept this which is based science like this;
http://www.scienc...lery.php

Or, you can accept that the anomalies are to be expected from an electrical discharge, and that gravity has an electrical origin.

http://www.holosc...niverse/

0 Report Block

Shootist May 30, 2013

gravity has an electrical origin.

Now some clown claims meteor craters are caused by Space Magic.

0 Report Block

cantdrive85 May 30, 2013

I guess lightning is earth "magic"? Aurora are planetary "magic"? Magnetospheres are "magic"? Yeppers, lot's of "magic" with EM.

0 Report Block

Solon May 30, 2013

'85 is most likely correct, and I'd say the hexagonal or close to hexagonal craters are the clue, a typical sign of magnetism at work. All melting and metamorphosis can be explained by an Electro Magnetic Pulse or pulses, acting upon the dielectric properties of the surface.

0 Report Block

Shelgeyr May 30, 2013

Team solves the origin of the Moon's 'mascons' mystery

No, they didn't "solve" the mystery. They simply further developed an existing theory. In other words, they can probably claim "progress", but not "solution."

The team confirmed the standing theory that the concentrations of mass were caused by massive asteroid impacts...

No, they didn't "confirm" the theory, they simply refined the data supporting the theory.

Good honest work was done (even if IMHO built upon and pursuing a mistaken premise) - but regardless of how I or anyone else feels about the "truth" of their base assumptions, their claims are overblown and unsupported.

0 Report Block

Gigel May 31, 2013

Or, you can accept that the anomalies are to be expected from an electrical discharge, and that gravity has an electrical origin.

Science is not about accepting, but about evidence. Make a clear-cut distinction between the two theories, such that only one of them is true and the other is clearly false and then there will be something to talk about.

0 Report Block

Torbjorn_Larsson_OM May 31, 2013

Great, a scientific testable _and_ already tested theory put against crackpot untestable drivel. And yes, without a testable, observable mechanism it is the equivalent of magic.

@Shelgeyr: They tested the theory and removed a major obstacle, how to predict mascons when the early Moon had a thin crust. That is a called a solution.

I don't like the "confirmation" language anymore than you, but that is what you can call yet another test in a language removed from hypothesis testing.

This is the only viable theory for predicting mascons I know of, and now it is in better shape than ever - a hallmark for useful theories. And you don't support your claim of "mistaken premise", so your comment comes over as a cat, "accepting, just barely".

0 Report Block

Shelgeyr May 31, 2013

Science is not about accepting, but about evidence. Make a clear-cut distinction between the two theories, such that only one of them is true and the other is clearly false and then there will be something to talk about.

I'm not the poster you were responding to, and I have no relationship with the following site other than being a fan, but in answer to your valid suggestion please allow me to recommend a visit to http://dipole.se . I think Bengt Nyman has done remarkable work along these lines, and he backs it up with some sound vector math.

0 Report Block

HannesAlfven May 31, 2013

Re: "Great, a scientific testable _and_ already tested theory put against crackpot untestable drivel. And yes, without a testable, observable mechanism it is the equivalent of magic."

"Critical thinking" in the space sciences ...

Step 1: Ignore all anomalies (like rilles that defy gravity both down AND UP mountains, and chains of craters that suddenly stop and then start back up. Also ignore the routine movement of the value of G beyond its error bars.)

Step 2: Create a computer simulation to show how the dominant worldview can explain the anomaly. It helps if the concept is so convoluted (in this case, warped space-time) that few people can actually even explain it. That means fewer critics.

Step 3: Refuse to learn competing hypotheses. Ignore the philosophical problem of unconceived alternatives (philosophy was a passing fad, right?)

Step 4: Run a press release which suggests that the simulation resolves the anomaly.

Repeat.

Winning!

0 Report Block

barakn May 31, 2013

Looks like HannesAlfven doesn't believe the moon has gravity or that the strength of the gravity could vary because of local variations in density. How stupid can you get?

0 Report Block

cantdrive85 May 31, 2013

Looks like HannesAlfven doesn't believe the moon has gravity or that the strength of the gravity could vary because of local variations in density. How stupid can you get?

You display just how stupid one can get on every one of your posts, you set the bar sky high!

0 Report Block

Please sign in to add a comment. Registration is free, and takes less than a minute. [Read more](#)

Email

Password

[Sign in](#) [Forgot Password Registration](#)

Medical Xpress
Medical research advances and health news

Tech Xplore
The latest engineering, electronics and technology advances

Science X
The most comprehensive sci-tech news coverage on the web

Newsletters

Email [Subscribe](#)

Science X Daily and the Weekly Email Newsletter are free features that allow you to receive your favorite sci-tech news updates in your email inbox

Follow us

[f](#)
[t](#)
[in](#)
[RSS](#)

[Top](#)
[Help](#)
[Science X Account](#)
[Android app](#)

[Home](#)
[FAQ](#)
[Sponsored Account](#)
[iOS app](#)

[Search](#)
[About](#)
[Archive](#)
[RSS feeds](#)

[Mobile version](#)
[Contact](#)
[News wire](#)
[Push notification](#)